

Gavin Ball  
Planning Policy Officer  
London Borough of Haringey  
6<sup>th</sup> Floor, River Park House  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 8HQ

14 June 2013

By email to: [ldf@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:ldf@haringey.gov.uk)

**RE: Consultation on Community Infrastructure Levy - Draft Charging Schedule and Supporting Information, June 2013**

Dear Mr Ball,

Thank you for providing the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) with the opportunity to respond to the consultation on Haringey Council's proposed Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) tariff.

**Background**

The NLWA is a statutory authority that is responsible for managing the municipal waste from seven north London Boroughs including Haringey.

We are currently in the process of procuring waste services and facilities to deliver a sustainable waste management solution for the area.

We therefore welcome the recognition within the consultation document that waste facilities in the borough will not be charged CIL.

**Standard CIL Tariff**

The Authority understands that the London Borough of Haringey is seeking to set a CIL rate at what it considers to be a viable level to ensure that the majority of development (which is identified between 2013/14 and 2026/27) remains viable, whilst at the same

time securing a contribution towards the funding gap for infrastructure. The Authority considers it appropriate that Haringey Council is proposing a CIL tariff which is variable across the borough. The Authority also supports a £0 CIL rate for industrial uses across the whole borough as a CIL rate of more than £0 would not be viable for industrial developments. Setting an unviable level of CIL risks dissuading developers from situating developments in the borough and therefore risks missing out on the opportunities for jobs that such developments create. Regulation 14 of the CIL Regulations April 2010 (as amended in 2011) requires authorities to aim to strike an appropriate balance between funding infrastructure and the effect of the imposition of CIL on the economic viability of development across its area.

### **Spending CIL Revenue**

The Authority considers that it should be able to put forward waste facilities in the borough for a possible contribution from CIL receipts and the London Borough of Islington has for example consulted on this as a way of bridging the funding gap in their area for waste management facilities. (London Borough of Islington, Consultation on Community Infrastructure Levy - Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and Supporting Information, July 2012) The Authority has previously argued e.g. in its response to the North London Waste Plan proposed submission version consultation, that waste facilities provide an amenity. Waste facilities are essential community infrastructure and accordingly provide a community benefit as they provide a service for local people. The Authority argues that it should therefore be able to put forward such facilities for inclusion on the Borough's list of infrastructure (Regulation 123 list) and would welcome any further discussion with London Borough of Haringey on this matter.

Yours sincerely

  

---

Tim Judson

**Director of Procurement**

