

Key	
SC: Statutory Consultee	GLA: Greater London Authority
LA: Local Authority	HBC: Hertsmere Borough Council
LO: Landowner	HSE: Health and Safety Executive
CC: Community Consultee	LBE: London Borough of Enfield
	LVRPA: Lee Valley Regional Park Authority
	LWL: London Waste Limited
	WCC: Westminster City Council

Ref	Issue	SC	LA	LO	CC	CC Respondent IDs	Response
1. Community benefits							
1.1 Community impact							
1.1.1	Concerns regarding impact on residents, including reduced house prices, increased traffic and inconvenience				8	9, 23, 24, 25, 37, 40, 10018, 10019	The proposed use of the site will be a continuation of the current waste management use. The potential impacts of the proposal at sensitive receptors, such as residential areas, will be considered as part of the environmental impact assessment which will be reported in the <i>Environmental Statement</i> which forms part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application. Potential traffic effects both during construction and operation will be detailed in the <i>Transport Assessment</i> . During Phase 2 Consultation the emerging findings of the environmental impact assessment will be available in the <i>Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)</i> and an <i>Interim Draft of the Transport Assessment</i> will be available.

							creation along the eastern boundary of the EcoPark and marginal planting along Enfield Ditch. The Picketts Lock site is located over 2km to the north of the EcoPark and as such is not likely to be significantly affected by the proposal.
1.1.3	No concerns/mitigation measures are sufficient				4	21, 27, 10006, 10018	Support for the scheme is noted and welcomed.
1.2 Visitor centre							
1.2.1	General support for the visitor centre				19	5, 9, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 26, 27, 31, 37, 44, 48, 10006, 10008, 10009, 10019, 10020	Support for provision of a visitors' centre is noted and welcomed.
1.2.2	Suggestions for the facilities at and operation of the visitor centre including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support for community education and involvement; • Support for use as a meeting place; • should be more accessible than current facility; • should be advertised; • should include education facilities and materials on waste management and the facility, for the benefit of various groups; 		LBE	LVRPA	20	5,6, 9, 18, 19, 21, 22, 25, 27 31, 36, 37, 38, 43, 45, 47, 10006, 10009, 10019,10020	EcoPark House would be a multifunctional building which provides replacement accommodation for the Edmonton Sea Cadets, office accommodation for staff, an area to receive visitors, meeting space and flexible space which can be used for education and community uses. EcoPark house would be located on the eastern side of the EcoPark in an area which would be open to members of the public using the RRC. During Phase 2 Consultation details of the proposed access routes will be provided. Detailed comments on the facilities at and operation of EcoPark House are noted and will

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • should include leisure facilities such as a café, a shop, a train ride and telephone facilities • keeping it clean; • not having a booking requirement. 						<p>be taken into consideration in developing our proposals. This will include the potential to include a café. The lack of space on site prohibits providing a train ride on site.</p> <p>EcoPark House would be maintained to be a clean and welcoming facility.</p>
1.2.3	Use of centre as a meeting place is unrealistic				1	24	EcoPark House would provide meeting space to replace the existing meeting space within the EfW. The meeting space would predominantly be used by staff and visitors to the EcoPark, however the space would be designed flexibly with a view to its also being used by the community and other groups.
1.2.4	Visitor centre is unnecessary				1	47	Base for those taking tours of the proposed facility, which would continue to be provided as they are now of the existing facility.
1.3 Other community benefit comments							
1.3.1	Regular communication and transparency, including visible and accessible information and clear mechanism for dialogue		WCC		8	6, 9, 14, 16, 24, 25, 37, 39, 10019	We agree that communication and transparency are important. A Community Liaison Group is proposed for the construction phases and we would welcome ongoing engagement with local residents and business with regard to the operation of the facility and the site during operation.
1.3.2	More publicity and/or education in schools to reduce stigma associated with site, particularly regarding the plume				5	9, 18, 37, 42, 10006	<p>We will consider with the Community Liaison Group how to best manage publicity during operation when there are no specific points at which consultation would take place.</p> <p>We agree that enabling education about waste management, the waste hierarchy and operations at the site would be beneficial. During the development of our proposals and</p>

							preparation for operations we will consider how to best manage engagement with the public generally and children in particular.
1.3.3	Foster sense of community pride, for example by having a striking building design or sponsoring a local Friends of NLHPP group		WCC		2	31, 10019	As the weight of opinion appears to be in favour of making the building less obvious within its setting we are approaching the question of community pride both in the facility and operation through sensitive design and landscaping to enhance the overall standing of the site and the facility within the area.
1.3.4	General community engagement and involvement, for example through closer liaison with community sector organisations		WCC		2	9, 10020	During consultation we have made contact with a number of community groups and as part of Phase 2 Consultation we will directly contact more groups. On an on-going basis it is for community groups to contact us if they want information or input but we will continue to have regular liaison with LB Enfield about provision of information to the community. There will also be a Community Liaison Group during construction.
1.3.5	Support for EcoPark tours				4	9, 16, 39, 47	Currently site tours are offered and the proposal is that tours would continue when the new facility is operational. Support for these is noted and welcomed.
1.3.6	Improve surrounding areas such as Lee Valley Park, nearby retail park and an area used by fly-tippers				3	22, 23, 25	This area is outside the EcoPark boundary and as such beyond the scope of this project. Proposals do include the enhancement of the eastern boundary of the EcoPark through habitat enhancement and creation and marginal planting along Enfield Ditch. This would improve the visual impact of the EcoPark from the Lee Valley Park.
1.3.7	Provide leisure facilities in				1	23	The EcoPark will continue to be an operational

	addition to those suggested as part of the visitor centre						waste management site. The site does not include any areas which would be suitable for leisure facilities.
1.3.8	Use the generated heat and/or electricity to supply local buildings and businesses				3	18, 33, 45	The scheme is designed to deliver both heat and electricity. NLWA is working closely with the promoters of the Lee Valley Heat Network (LVHN) to develop proposals for the heat from the ERF to be used as part of the heat network. The LVHN would provide heat to local buildings and businesses.
1.3.9	Create job opportunities both during the construction and operation stages, e.g. building apprenticeships or part time-jobs for young people				3	31, 39, 10019	There would be increased job opportunities during construction and on-going jobs opportunities during operation. Apprenticeships would be considered for construction and work and for future operations.
1.3.10	Accommodate the Edmonton Sea Cadets who are currently based on the EcoPark site			LWL LVRPA	0		The Edmonton Sea Cadets would continue to be accommodated at the site in EcoPark House which would include suitable replacement facility for this group designed to take their use into account..